

VECTOR INSTITUTE

Motivation & Summary

- Unrolled computation graphs arise in many scenarios
- Training RNNs, tuning hyperparameters through unrolled optimization, reinforcement learning, training learned optimizers.
- Current approaches to optimizing parameters in such computation graphs suffer from high variance gradients, bias, slow updates, or large memory usage.
- PES eliminates bias from these truncations by accumulating correction terms over the entire sequence of unrolls.
- PES allows for rapid parameter updates, has low memory usage, is unbiased, and has reasonable variance characteristics.
- PES is unbiased, allowing it to converge to correct solutions that are not found by TBPTT or truncated ES
- Loss surface smoothing induced by PES is beneficial for HO, overcoming erratic meta-loss surfaces

Problem Setup

Task S _t	Task	$L_3(oldsymbol{s}_3;oldsymbol{ heta})$	$L_2(oldsymbol{s}_2;oldsymbol{ heta})$	$L_1(oldsymbol{s}_1;oldsymbol{ heta})$
RNN Hidden Sta	RNN	↑	Ť	Ť
yperparameter Optimization Model Parar	Hyperparameter Optimization	→ S ₃ → ···	$\rightarrow \mathbf{s}_2 -$	$\cdots \rightarrow s_1 -$
Learned Optimizers Model Parar	Learned Optimizers	↑	Ť	Ť
RL Environment S	RL	$oldsymbol{ heta}$	θ	$oldsymbol{ heta}$

- Dynamical system with state s_t governed by parameters θ : $s_t = f(s_{t-1}, x_t; \theta)$. We wish to minimize $L(\theta) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_t(s_t; \theta)$.
- BPTT and RTRL are expensive and have high latency; TBPTT suffers from truncation bias; approximations to RTRL have higher variance.
- Long unrolls can lead to chaotic or poorly conditioned loss landscapes

Evolution Strategies

• Evolution Strategies (ES) is a method for estimating a descent direction for arbitrary black-box functions using stochastic finite differences.

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^{2}I)} \left[L(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] \approx \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}^{\mathsf{ES}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^{2}I)} \left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \right]$$

- ES is trivially parallelizable, and thus highly scalable
- ES optimizes a Gaussian-smoothed loss surface
- Helps overcome pathological structure in long-unroll meta-objectives
- Can optimize arbitrary black-box functions, e.g., non-differentiable objectives like accuracy rather than loss
- However, ES suffers from truncation bias similarly to TBPTT
- Goal: Can we design an algorithm with the benefits of ES, that does not suffer from truncation bias?

Unbiased Gradient Estimation in Unrolled Computation Graphs with Persistent Evolution Strategies

Paul Vicol^{1,2,3}, Luke Metz¹, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein¹ ¹Google Research, ²University of Toronto, ³Vector Institute

Persistent Evolution Strategies (PES)

• PES divides the computation graph into a series of truncated unrolls, and performs an ES-based update step after each unroll.

• g^{PES} decomposes into a sum of sequential gradient estimates,

$$egin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{g}}^{\mathsf{PES}} &= rac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbb{E}_{oldsymbol{\epsilon}} \left[(\mathsf{I} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{ op}) \operatorname{vec}\left(oldsymbol{\epsilon}
ight) L(\Theta + oldsymbol{\epsilon})
ight] \ &= rac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbb{E}_{oldsymbol{\epsilon}} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} oldsymbol{\xi}_t L_t(oldsymbol{ heta}_1 + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \dots, oldsymbol{ heta}_t + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_t)
ight] \end{aligned}$$

- We obtain unbiased gradient estimates from partial unrolls by: . Not resetting the particles between unrolls
- 2. Accumulating perturbations each particle has experienced over all unrolls.
- The PES algorithm (using antithetic sampling) is as follows:

Initialize
$$s^{(i)} = s_0$$
 for $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$
Initialize $\xi^{(i)} \leftarrow 0$ for $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$
repeat
 $\hat{g}^{\text{PES}} \leftarrow 0$
for $i = 1, ..., N$ do
 $\epsilon^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \text{draw from } \mathcal{N}(0, -\epsilon^{(i-1)}) \\ -\epsilon^{(i-1)} \end{cases}$
 $s^{(i)}, \hat{L}_K^{(i)} \leftarrow \text{unroll}(s^{(i)}, \theta)$
 $\xi^{(i)} \leftarrow \xi^{(i)} + \epsilon^{(i)}$

$$\hat{m{g}}^{ ext{PES}} \leftarrow \hat{m{g}}^{ ext{PES}} + m{\xi}^{(i)} \hat{L}_{K}^{(i)}$$
end for

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{g}}^{\text{PES}} \leftarrow rac{1}{N\sigma^2} \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}^{\text{PES}}$$

$$oldsymbol{ heta} \leftarrow oldsymbol{ heta} - lpha \hat{oldsymbol{g}}^{ extsf{PES}}$$

Variance



Compute and Memory Cost

- For each particle, PES uses KF compute, where K is the truncated unroll length and F is the cost of a forward pass
- For each particle, PES stores the state s_t and perturbation accumulator $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t$.



$$N$$

 N

 $\sigma^{2}I^{}$ i odd*i* even $+ \epsilon^{(i)}, K$

Influence Balancing



Learned Optimizer Meta-Optimization



Hyperparameter Optimization



Learning Policy for Continuous Control



- The variance of the PES gradient estimate depends on the correlation between gradients at each unroll.
- On char-level PTB, we see two regimes as we increase #unrolls: initial decrease in variance, followed by linear increase



- Synthetic task with arbitrarily long-term dependencies
- Learn a scalar that has a positive short-term influence but a negative long-term influence
- Truncated algorithms fail; PES performs similarly to RTRL given enough particles
- We meta-train an MLP-based learned optimizer.
- Used to train an MLP on CIFAR-10.
- PES achieves lower losses, and is more consistent across random initializations of the learned optimizer.

• Tuning LR schedule for an MLP on MNIST • The inner-problem length is T = 5000, and we used truncations of length $\{10, 100\}$ • PES can also optimize non-differentiable objectives such as validation accuracy

• PES can train a policy for continuous control using partial unrolls • We found that PES is more efficient than ES applied to full episodes, while truncated ES fails due to bias